



Navigating First-Year STEM Student Persistence: *Insights from Student Experience & Expectations*

Zhong Zheng (zheng1@usf.edu)
Office of Decision Support, Student Success Research & Analytics
Department of Sociology & Interdisciplinary Social Sciences
University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620

1. Background

- The presentation delves into an investigation of the social and behavioral indicators influencing the persistence trajectories of the First-Time-in-College (FTIC) students pursuing STEM degrees.
- Using data from the Beginning College Survey of Student Engagement (BCSSE) as well as institutional records, the study primarily employs logistic regression analyses to the STEM FTIC cohort of Summer/Fall 2021, totaling 2304 students, within a Southeastern R1 university.

2. STEM Persistence Pathways

Type I Retention

- First-year STEM students returned to the university in the 2nd Fall.

Type II Retention

- First-year STEM students continued to enroll in a STEM program (any eligible major) in the 2nd Fall.

Type III Retention

- First-year STEM students persisted in their initial major choice during the 2nd Fall.

3. Research Questions

- RQ1: What percentages of STEM FTIC students were retained in the second Fall?
- RQ2: What are the social and behavioral indicators influencing the persistence outcomes of FTIC students pursuing STEM degrees?

4. Data & Variables

- FTIC cohort of Summer/Fall 2021 pursuing STEM degrees
 - N=2304
- Institutional records (Student Information Database, SID)
 - Demographics: gender, race/ethnicity, first-generation status, honor status, housing type, Pell, scholarship, and athlete status, etc.
 - Grades: high school GPA and SAT scores, etc.
- Beginning College Survey of Student Engagement (BCSSE)
 - Social-behavioral indicators such as:
 - expected engagement in collaborative learning
 - expected discussions with diverse others and
 - hours spent working for pay in the last year of high school, etc.

5. Methods

- To assess the influence of social-behavioral variables on STEM persistence, I conducted logistic regression analyses using PROC LOGISTIC procedure (backward selection) in SAS[®] Enterprise Guide[®].

```
PROC LOGISTIC DATA = WORK.STEM_FYR
PLOTS (ONLY) = ODDS RATIO PLOTS (ONLY) = ROC;
CLASS &CHAR_1 / PARAM=REFERENCE;
MODEL FALL22_STEM_FLG (EVENT='YES')
= &CHAR_1 &NUM_1 /
LINK = LOGIT RSQUARE LACKFIT RSQ CORRB
SELECTION = BACKWARD;
RUN;
```

6. Findings

- Among the 2304 STEM FTIC students who were admitted in Summer/Fall 2021:
 - 2095 (91%) returned to the university in Fall 2022 (Type I Retention)
 - 1820 (79%) continued in a STEM program in Fall 2022 (Type II Retention)
 - 1536 (67%) persisted in their initial STEM majors in Fall 2022 (Type III Retention)
- Significant BCSSE indicators of **Type I Retention** ($p < 0.05$)
 - a limited college social circle comprising known friends (-)
 - designation of the current institution as a secondary/tertiary choice (-)
 - spending more hrs working for pay during the last year of high school (-)
- Significant BCSSE indicators of **Type II Retention** ($p < 0.05$)
 - less inclined to seek academic help from friends in college (-)
 - spending less time socializing & relaxing in the final year of high school (-)
- Significant BCSSE indicators of **Type III Retention** ($p < 0.05$)
 - anticipating heightened engagement in collaborative college learning (+)
 - expecting more academic challenges in college (-)
 - planning to seek more non-academic & non-family/friend help with college coursework (-)
 - spending more hrs working for pay during the last year of high school (-)

**Note: (+) refers to positive association, while (-) is negative association.*

7. Discussions

- PROC LOGISTIC procedure allows users to request various goodness-of-fit statistics, which can enhance the overall understanding of the logistic regression models.
- By using the backward selection option, we can select the best subset of predictor variables. This process begins with all predictors and progressively eliminates the least significant ones until a predefined stopping point is reached. For this study, backward selection is helpful in identifying the influential social-behavioral indicators of STEM persistence.

8. Acknowledgement

- I'm truly grateful to Glendalis Gonzalez (Assoc. Director of SSRA) & Dr. Shabnam Mehra (Former Director of SSRA) at the USF Office of Decision Support for their invaluable guidance on the initial iteration of this study (FYR Prediction Project). I'd also like to thank Dr. Xiaoying Liu (Assoc. Director, SSRA) for her valuable feedback on this study.